



2 3 4

Adobe Acrobat Reader

6 7

8 9

Finding Words

11

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

12 13

To find a word using the Find command:

14 15

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 17 18 19

16

3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

202122

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

232425

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

2627

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

28 29

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

30 31

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and c

32 33 Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

343536

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

373839

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

41 42

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.

43 44 45



3

2

5 6

> 7 8

To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

11 12

9

10

13

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text

14 15 16

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

17 18

19 20

on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text 21

in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is 22

highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. 23

The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected

24 text to the clipboard. 25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32 33

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
2	SPECIAL MEETING: BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS
3	WEDNESDAY, MAY 11, 2011, 9:30 A.M.
4	
5	
6	
7	SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE MAY 11TH, 2011 MEETING OF THE
8	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ON THE BUDGET PUBLIC HEARINGS WILL BEGIN
9	AT THIS TIME. AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS ON HER WAY. AND SO WE
10	WILL BEGIN AT THIS TIME. AND WE- OUR FIRST SPEAKER IS OUR
11	SHERIFF, SHERIFF LEE BACA.
12	
13	SHERIFF LEE BACA: OKAY, THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF
14	THE BOARD. I APPRECIATE ALL THE WORK THAT HAS BEEN DONE BY
15	YOUR OFFICES AND MY STAFF AND MR. FUJIOKA AND HIS STAFF. AS
16	YOU KNOW, WE DON'T NEED TO REMIND OURSELVES, BUT I'LL STATE
17	THAT AT THE STATEWIDE BUDGET PROBLEMS HAVE BEEN SIGNIFICANT TO
18	ALL LOCAL MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENTS. AND AT THE SAME TIME THAT
19	WE'VE EXPERIENCED REVENUE REDUCTION IN THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS,
20	THERE APPEARS TO BE AN UPWARD TREND IN THE LAST QUARTER OR TWO
21	OF WHAT OUR REPORTS INDICATE IN THE WAY OF COUNTY DOLLARS
22	RISING. AT THE SAME TIME, WE HAVE NOT COMPLETED THE FOURTH
23	QUARTER OF THE FISCAL REPORTING PROCESS; HOWEVER, AS A MATTER
24	OF RECORD, THE LAST BOARD MEETING ON THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
25	BUDGET REQUIRED THAT THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE AND THE SHERIFF'S



- 1 OFFICE CONTINUALLY MEET TO TRY TO FIND WAYS TO RESTORE SOME OF
- 2 THE LOSSES TO THE COUNTY SHERIFF'S BUDGET. WE ARE AT THE LAST
- 3 QUARTER OF ESSENTIALLY WHAT COULD BE DESCRIBED AS A SIX-
- 4 OUARTER FISCAL REDUCTION PLAN TO REDUCE THE 128 MILLION
- 5 DOLLARS OF SPENDING WITHIN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT BUDGET.
- 6 THAT SPENDING REPRESENTS 70 PERCENT OF ALL THE COUNTY-WIDE
- 7 CUTS THAT WERE DONE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR OF 2010-11; AND IN
- 8 THAT REGARD, WE LOST 500 DEPUTY SHERIFF POSITIONS. AND IN
- 9 ORDER TO CONTINUE THE REDUCTION, WE, ON A WEEKLY BASIS, MOVE
- 1,400 PEOPLE FROM VARIOUS NON-24/7 POSTS AND PUT THEM ON THE
- 11 24/7 POSTS EVERY WEEK. AND THAT HELPS US REDUCE COSTS IN A
- 12 VARIETY OF WAYS, INCLUDING OVERTIME. THAT'S SIGNIFICANT IN
- 13 TERMS OF HUMAN TOLL AND IT'S ON RECORD AND YOUR STAFF AND MY
- 14 STAFF HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING ALL THIS. NOTHING NEW, BUT IT DOES
- 15 REMAIN TO BE RESOLVED. THIS CAN'T GO ON INDEFINITELY RUNNING A
- 16 MAJOR COUNTY DEPARTMENT THAT WAY. SO THE C.E.O. AND I ARE
- 17 CONTINUING TO WORK ON THE 52.2 RECOMMENDED 2011-12 BUDGET CUT.
- 18 WE BELIEVE THAT THAT CAN BE DONE. WE WANT TO WAIT UNTIL THE
- 19 END OF THE FISCAL YEAR TO ACHIEVE THAT. AND SO MY HOPE IS THAT
- 20 WHEN IT COMES AROUND AUGUST OR SO OR SEPTEMBER, THE
- 21 SUPPLEMENTAL RECOMMENDATION WILL BE TO RESTORE THE 52.2
- 22 MILLION DOLLAR RECOMMENDED CUT THROUGH OTHER REVENUE SOURCES.
- 23 I WANT TO ASK THAT THE UNINCORPORATED AREA BE CONSIDERED AS A
- 24 HIGH PRIORITY. AS YOU KNOW, I CHAIRED THE RENEWAL OF THE
- 25 UNINCORPORATED UTILITY TAX EFFORT. AND I BELIEVE THAT IN THAT



- 1 RESPECT, THAT COULD REPRESENT ANOTHER POSSIBLE WAY OF HELPING
- 2 EQUALIZE AND ELIMINATE ANY FURTHER CUTS, EQUALIZE MY CURRENT
- 3 EFFORT; AND AT THE SAME TIME, NOT HAVE ADDITIONAL CUTS MADE.
- 4 SO THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR GUIDANCE AND YOUR PATIENCE IN HOW
- 5 THIS COUNTY IS MANAGED FISCALLY. I THINK THAT WE ARE STILL THE
- 6 GREAT MODEL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, UNDOUBTEDLY IN
- 7 COMPARISON TO 50 STATES THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES, THIS
- 8 BOARD IS OBLIGATED TO MANAGE MORE MONEY THAN 42 OF THOSE 50
- 9 STATES. I THINK THE PUBLIC SHOULD ALWAYS REMEMBER THIS POINT.
- 10 AND WE ARE NOT SINKING LIKE OTHER CITIES AND OTHER COUNTIES
- 11 THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. AND THAT'S BECAUSE OF THE
- 12 EXTRAORDINARY MANAGEMENT OF THE COUNTY TAXPAYER DOLLARS HERE
- 13 AND THE FACT THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IS RATHER
- 14 CONSERVATIVE ON HOW IT DOES ITS MANAGING OF MONEY. THANK YOU
- 15 VERY MUCH.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE CUT LAST THE YEAR WAS
- 18 APPROXIMATELY, WHAT, 37 MILION DOLLARS? THE YEAR WE'RE TALKING
- 19 ABOUT 15 MILLION WHICH COULD GO UP TO 52 IF LAST YEAR'S CUT IS
- 20 NOT BACKFILLED LIKE WE WERE ABLE TO DO IT LAST YEAR. IF THE
- 21 STATE FAILS TO ADOPT THE BUDGET AND TAKES OUT ADDITIONAL CUTS
- 22 TO THE COUNTIES IN THIS STATE, HOW WOULD YOU TAKE THE FULL 52
- 23 PLUS MILLION DOLLAR REDUCTION.



- 1 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT. AS YOU
- 2 KNOW, MORE THAN HALF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET
- 3 INVOLVES CONTRACTS THAT ARE VULNERABLE TO COUNTY CUTS. THOSE
- 4 ARE REVENUES OUTSIDE COUNTY REVENUES THAT ARE BEING PUT INTO
- 5 THE DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET. IT WOULD UNDOUBTEDLY INVOLVE
- 6 UNINCORPORATED PATROL AND IT WOULD ALSO INVOLVE THE JAIL
- 7 ISSUES WITH CLOSING MORE JAIL BED SPACES.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT THE 15 MILLION DOLLAR PROPOSED
- 10 REDUCTION, YOU DO NOT HAVE TO CLOSE ANY ADDITIONAL BEDS IN THE
- 11 JAILS, RIGHT?

12

- 13 SHERIFF LEE BACA: OH I WOULD YES IF WE HAVE TO ADD ANOTHER 52
- 14 ON TOP OF THE 28.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO, BUT IF IT WAS REDUCED TO ONLY 15
- 17 MILLION DOLLARS, NOT 52 MILLION. YOU JUST SAID 15 MILLION.

- 19 SHERIFF LEE BACA: EVEN IF IT'S 15 MILLION DOLLARS OR 52
- 20 MILLION DOLLARS, WE ARE AT ROCK BOTTOM WHEN IT COMES TO ANY
- 21 FORMS OF FLEXIBILITY. IN FACT WHEN YOU MOVE 1,400 PEOPLE FROM
- 22 OTHER OBLIGATORY POSITIONS EVERY WEEK, ADD THAT UP, THAT'S
- 23 5,600 PEOPLE A MONTH MOVING AROUND, I DON'T KNOW OF ANY AGENCY
- 24 CAN DO 5,600 MOVEMENTS INTO EMERGENCY SPOTS THAT NEED TO BE
- 25 FILLED TO SAVE MONEY. I JUST THINK THAT ANY ADDITIONAL CUTS OF



- 1 ANY LEVEL WILL RESULT IN CUTS IN UNINCORPORATED PATROL AND THE
- 2 JAILS.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. NOW, IF THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL
- 5 TO PUT FELONS FROM THE STATE PENITENTIARY INTO COUNTY JAILS
- 6 WHO HAVE THREE YEARS OR LESS IN TIME LEFT AT THE STATE
- 7 PENITENTIARY, HOW ARE YOU GOING PAY FOR THOSE COSTS? BECAUSE
- 8 IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING IT'S NOT FULL FUNDING FROM THE STATE,
- 9 PLUS THE ADDITIONAL COSTS THAT WE WILL HAVE TO ASSUME, NOT
- 10 JUST YOUR DEPARTMENT, BUT MENTAL HEALTH, DRUG AND ALCOHOL
- 11 REHAB, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH WHERE WE'VE BEGUN TO ASSUME THESE
- 12 COSTS ALONG WITH FUTURE LIABILITIES THAT MAY RESULT IN
- 13 FRIVOLOUS LAWSUITS AND OTHER TYPES OF LAWSUITS THAT WE'RE
- 14 STUCK WITH. SO HOW DO WE BALANCE THAT ADDITIONAL INFLUX OF
- 15 INMATES WITH THOSE THAT YOU HAVE NOW?

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE: MR. MAYOR COULD I JUST TAG ONTO THAT? BECAUSE
- 18 THAT'S A GOOD OUESTION. LET'S SAY THAT WE FIND A WAY TO TAKE
- 19 CARE OF THE 27 MILLION. YOU FIND A WAY TO TAKE CARE OF THE 50.
- 20 WHAT IF THERE WERE NO CUTS, HOW ARE YOU GOING TO BE ABLE TO
- 21 ADDRESS THE QUESTIONS THAT THE MAYOR ASKED? I MEAN WITHOUT-

- 23 SHERIFF LEE BACA: SIMPLY THIS. THAT IN THE EVENT THAT A RE-
- 24 ALIGNMENT PACKAGE IS AUTHORIZED BY THE STATE, THE C.E.O. AND I
- 25 HAVE TALKED TO THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND I'VE TALKED TO THE



- 1 GOVERNOR, AND THE GOVERNOR IS ON RECORD SAYING THAT HE CANNOT
- 2 REALIGN ANYTHING WITHOUT THE DOLLARS TO PAY FOR IT AND THAT A
- 3 CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT WOULD GUARANTEE THE DOLLARS AS WELL
- 4 AS THE FACT THAT WHEN THE C.E.O. AND I LOOKED AT THE DOLLARS,
- 5 WHAT'S AMAZING IS THAT TO INCARCERATE ONE INMATE IN THE STATE
- 6 PRISON SYSTEM IS A \$50,000 PER YEAR FUND ALLOCATION PER
- 7 INMATE. WE'RE OPERATING UNDER 35,000 DOLLARS ON A PER ANNUAL
- 8 BASIS FOR AN INMATE. THE GOVERNOR'S PLAN IS TO GIVE US 50,000
- 9 DOLLARS NOT 35 OR LESS THOUSAND DOLLARS. WE WILL ACTUALLY HAVE
- 10 A NET REVENUE GAIN THAT I ALLUDED TO LAST TIME I WAS BEFORE
- 11 THE BOARD. AND THE ADDITIONAL DOLLARS ARE MORE THAN AMPLE TO
- 12 DO A NUMBER OF THINGS, INCLUDING A LONG-TERM SOLUTION TO BUILD
- 13 ADDITIONAL BEDS AS WELL AS HAVE EXTRA REVENUE TO ENHANCE
- 14 WHATEVER WE DO WITH OUR CURRENT FACILITIES.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: EXCEPT THOSE REVENUES DON'T TAKE INTO
- 17 ACCOUNT MENTAL HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, DRUG AND ALCOHOL
- 18 REHABILITATION PROGRAMS AND LIABILITY ISSUES THAT OUR COUNTY
- 19 COUNCILS AND DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND PUBLIC DEFENDER WOULD BE
- 20 INVOLVED WITH.

- 22 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, THAT'S TRUE IN CIRCUMSTANCES EITHER
- 23 WAY. I MEAN THOSE COSTS HAVE BEEN BORN BY THE COUNTY BECAUSE
- 24 OF THE NATURE THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM WORKS INDEPENDENT OF
- 25 RE-ALIGNMENT OR NOT. AND THE RE-ALIGNMENT IS NOT DEALING WITH



- 1 QUOTE "PURELY STATE PRISONERS." IN FACT, THE STATE PRISONERS
- 2 ARE COUNTY PRISONERS WHO CAME THROUGH THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE
- 3 SYSTEM IN OUR COUNTY, WHICH WE'RE OBLIGATED TO PAY NO MATTER
- 4 WHAT.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT. BUT ALSO IN THE WHAT IS THE YOU
- 7 HAVE FROM THE WHAT IS THE TERM? WITH THE PAROLE VIOLATORS
- 8 WE'RE NOW PUTTING IN BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR? THERE'S
- 9 APPROXIMATELY A 10 MILLION DOLLAR HIT TO THE SHERIFF'S
- 10 DEPARTMENT IN THAT CURRENT OPERATION. AND IT'S NOT BEING
- 11 REIMBURSED.

12

- 13 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THE PAROLE SYSTEM IS DOING WHAT IT TYPICALLY
- 14 HAS DONE. THERE HAS BEEN NO OBLIGATORY FINANCIAL COSTS BY THE
- 15 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT OR WITHIN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO
- 16 DEAL WITH ANYTHING CURRENTLY. ALL OF THE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT RE-
- 17 ALIGNMENT IS NOT AN ACTIONABLE ITEM AT THE PRESENT TIME. NON
- 18 REVOCABLE PAROLE, WE'RE HAVING TO ASSUME THOSE COSTS WHICH IS
- 19 10 MILLION DOLLARS AND I FORGOT WHAT THE TOTAL AMOUNT IS, BUT
- 20 WE'RE NOT BEING REIMBURSED BY THE STATE FOR THOSE COSTS.

- 22 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL THE PAROLING MODEL THAT IS CURRENTLY IN
- 23 PLACE FOR NON REVOCABLE PAROLE, THE STAFFING THAT THE PAROLE
- 24 DEPARTMENT HAS TODAY IS STILL HERE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY.



- 1 THERE REALLY HASN'T BEEN A COST SHIFTING TO THE COUNTY ON NON
- 2 REVOCABLE PAROLE PROBLEMS.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT THE COSTS THAT WE GET FROM THE WAS
- 5 IT THE C.E.O.'S BILL WHO PROVIDES THAT INFORMATION TO US? IT'S
- 6 A SIZABLE AMOUNT, NOT JUST THE SHERIFF, WHICH IS ABOUT A 10
- 7 MILLION DOLLAR FIGURE, JACKIE HAS THAT INFORMATION.

8

- 9 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE TOTAL COST THE INFORMATION IS OBTAINED
- 10 FROM MULTIPLE DEPARTMENTS THAT WE TRANSMIT TO YOUR BOARD.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT. BUT I THINK THE SHERIFF'S
- 13 DEPARTMENT WAS ABOUT 9.8 MILLION DOLLARS.

14

- 15 SHERIFF LEE BACA: MR. CHAIRMAN, I MEAN MR. MAYOR, I'M A LITTLE
- 16 LOST IN THIS DISCUSSION BECAUSE-

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY, THE POINT I WAS TRYING TO MAKE
- 19 IS WE ARE HAVING TO ASSUME COSTS THAT ARE NOT BEING REIMBURSED
- 20 BY THE STATE. SO ON THE RE-ALIGNMENT, WHEN WE STATE THAT THE
- 21 CONSTITUTION SAYS YOU CAN'T DO THAT, THEY STILL DO IT BECAUSE
- 22 THEY STILL OWE US ABOUT A HALF A BILLION DOLLARS IN UNFUNDED,
- 23 MANDATED PROGRAMS THAT WE ASSUME.



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IN CONVERSATIONS THAT I KNOW, I UNDERSTAND
- 2 YOUR CONCERN WITH RESPECT TO RE-ALIGNMENT. IN CONVERSATIONS
- 3 THAT THE SHERIFF AND I HAVE HAD TOGETHER OR INDIVIDUALLY WITH
- 4 THE GOVERNOR'S FINANCE TEAMS, SPECIFICALLY THE FINANCE
- 5 DIRECTOR, THERE'S A STRONG COMMITMENT THAT THE PROGRAMS THAT
- 6 THE SHERIFF MENTIONED THAT ARE TARGETED FOR RE-ALIGNMENT WON'T
- 7 COME UNLESS FUNDING ACCOMPANIES THOSE PROGRAMS. AND SO THAT'S
- 8 SOMETHING WE'RE TRACKING VERY CAREFULLY BECAUSE WE, ESPECIALLY
- 9 OUR SHERIFF UNDERSTANDS THAT SHOULD THESE PROGRAMS COME
- 10 FORWARD WITHOUT ANY REIMBURSEMENT WHATSOEVER, HE AND WE AS A
- 11 COUNTY, THE COUNTY AS A WHOLE DO NOT HAVE THE WHEREWITHAL TO
- 12 PROVIDE THOSE SERVICES. BUT THERE IS A COMMITMENT THAT FUNDING
- 13 WILL COME.

14

- 15 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WE'RE PRETTY AGGRESSIVE UP THERE, IF I MAY,
- 16 MR. MAYOR, WITH THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND THE GOVERNOR. AND
- 17 HE'S ACQUIESCING TO OUR DEMANDS.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE COST IS 9.5 MILLION OF WHICH 9
- 20 MILLION IS THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH AND .5 MILLION ARE
- 21 THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENTS. THOSE ARE THE COSTS.

22

- 23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I DIDN'T HAVE THOSE FIGURES. I DIDN'T HAVE
- 24 THAT REPORT IN FRONT OF ME.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANYWAY SO I WAS JUST TRYING TO MAKE
- 2 THE POINT THAT WHILE IT IS IN THE CONSTITUTION THAT THEY CAN'T
- 3 DO THIS, THEY DO IT. AND WE'RE AT THE END OF THE FOOD CHAIN.
- 4 LIKE P.O.W.S WERE GIVEN ALL THE RED CROSS TREATMENTS: CARE,
- 5 FOOD EXCEPT AFTERWARDS YOU FIND ALL THE P.O.W.S WERE STARVED
- 6 TO DEATH.

7

9

12

18

21

- 8 SHERIFF LEE BACA: I UNDERSTAND.
- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT IN THE BOOK, IT SAID THEY WERE
- 11 BEING FED. ANY SUPERVISOR COMMENTS? SUPERVISOR KNABE?
- 13 SUP. KNABE: ARE THE- THIS IS TO THE C.E.O. OBVIOUSLY
- 14 DISCUSSIONS HERE WITH THE SHERIFF, YOU'VE ASKED HIM FOR
- 15 ADDITIONAL CUTS. WE'VE HEARD THIS MORNING WHAT THOSE IMPACTS
- 16 WOULD BE. ARE WE ASKING THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS TO DO THE SAME
- 17 THING WITH REGARDS TO SAVINGS?
- 19 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ABSOLUTELY. WITH RESPECT TO THE CUTS OR WITH
- 20 RESPECT TO THE CARRYOVER DOLLARS? WHICH ONE?
- 22 SUP. KNABE: SAVINGS. THE INTERNAL SAVINGS. AS IT RELATES TO
- 23 THE \$10 MILLION PIECE.



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: A NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTS, WELL, IN TERMS OF THE
- 2 \$10 MILLION THAT THE SHERIFF HAS ASKED TO CARRY OVER FROM THIS
- 3 YEAR TO NEXT YEAR, THAT, YOU KNOW, RECOGNIZING WHAT THE
- 4 SHERIFF DID LAST YEAR AND WHAT HIS BUDGET HAS THIS YEAR AND
- 5 THE FACT THAT HE'S AT THE, I'LL CALL IT HE'S AT THE KIND OF A
- 6 MINIMUM FUNDING LEVEL, THAT'S SOMETHING WE FULLY SUPPORT. MOST
- 7 DEPARTMENTS, HOWEVER, WILL BE LOOKING AT WHAT THEY CAN CARRY
- 8 OVER ON A CASE BY CASE BASIS. AND WHEN WE PRESENT OUR
- 9 SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT, OUR SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET, WE'LL BE COMING
- 10 FORWARD TO YOUR BOARD WITH A RECOMMENDATION ON WHAT SHOULD BE
- 11 LEFT IN THE DEPARTMENT AND WHAT SHOULD BE CARRIED OVER TO
- 12 ADDRESS SOME OF OUR OTHER CRITICAL NEEDS.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: WELL IN THE PAST, LAST YEAR WE BACKFILLED THE 27
- 15 MILLION. SO WHERE ARE WE IN THAT PROCESS? I MEAN OBVIOUSLY
- 16 THAT DECISION WAS MADE NOT TO BACKFILL THIS PARTICULAR POINT.
- 17 IS THAT GOING TO BE PART OF THE DISCUSSION AT THE SUPPLEMENTAL
- 18 PIECE?

- 20 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ABSOLUTELY. WHAT I MENTIONED WHEN I PRESENTED
- 21 THE BUDGET IS THAT RECOGNIZING NOT ONLY THE PROBLEMS IN THE
- 22 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, WE HAVE A COUPLE OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS
- 23 THAT HAVE SOME I THINK SOME VERY, VERY CRITICAL FUNDING
- 24 SHORTFALLS THAT COME SUPPLEMENTAL, ONCE WE CLOSE OUR BOOKS AND
- 25 WE IDENTIFY WHAT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE, AND ALSO, HOPEFULLY BY



- 1 THEN WE'LL UNDERSTAND WHERE THE STATE IS AT AND THE FEDERAL
- 2 GOVERNMENT, WE'LL BE COMING BACK TO YOUR BOARD WITH
- 3 RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW TO NOT ONLY CLOSE THAT GAP, BUT ALSO
- 4 WHAT WE NEED TO DO TO START REPLENISHING OUR CRITICAL
- 5 RESERVES.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN BECAUSE THERE'S TWO PIECES HERE. I MEAN
- 8 OBVIOUSLY THERE'S GOING TO BE OTHER DEPARTMENTS THAT ARE GOING
- 9 TO HAVE TO LOOK AT THEIR ISSUES, AS WELL, TOO.

10

11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: BUT WE DISTINCTLY HAVE A PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUE FOR
- 14 THOSE OF US WHO HAVE VERY LARGE UNINCORPORATED POPULATIONS.
- 15 THIS COULD BE DRAMATIC. AND I'M JUST PUTTING ON THE TABLE THAT
- 16 I'VE GOT GRAVE CONCERNS AS IT RELATES TO THAT.

17

- 18 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AND I TOTALLY AGREE WITH THOSE CONCERNS. WE
- 19 DID- I DID STATE EARLIER IN THE BUDGET PRESENTATION THAT
- 20 SHOULD WE FIND THAT WE END THE YEAR ON A NOTE BETTER THAN WHAT
- 21 WE ANTICIPATE TODAY, THAT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE A
- 22 PRIORITY.

23

24 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA? SUPERVISOR
- 2 YAROSLAVSKY, ANY QUESTIONS? SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS? ANY
- 3 QUESTIONS? THANK YOU.

4

5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THANK YOU.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SHERIFF.

8

9 SUP. KNABE: THANKS, SHERIFF.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. TEDDY DAVIS?

- 13 TEDDY DAVIS: MAYOR ANTONOVICH, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, GOOD
- 14 MORNING. MY NAME IS TEDDY DAVIS I AM THE CHIEF ADVOCATE AT
- 15 S.E.I.U. LOCAL 721, A UNION THAT REPRESENTS MORE THAN 50,000
- 16 COUNTY WORKERS. THESE WORKERS HAVE PARTNERED WITH THE COUNTY
- 17 TO MAKE GOVERNMENT MORE EFFICIENT AND COST-EFFECTIVE. THEY
- 18 HAVE GONE WITHOUT RAISES TO HELP BALANCE THE BUDGET. IN FACT,
- 19 L.A. COUNTY HAS GOTTEN THROUGH THESE HARD ECONOMIC TIMES
- 20 WITHOUT A SINGLE LAYOFF OR FURLOUGH. BUT THIS COULD CHANGE IF
- 21 SACRAMENTO DOES NOT MAINTAIN CURRENT REVENUE. IF THE VEHICLE
- 22 LICENSE FEE IS NOT EXTENDED AT ITS CURRENT RATE, THE PROBATION
- 23 DEPARTMENT MAY BE FORCED TO LAY OFF 1,000 WORKERS. 1,000
- 24 LAYOFFS WOULD NOT ONLY HURT THE ECONOMY, IT COULD ALSO
- 25 JEOPARDIZE THE SAFETY OF OUR NEIGHBORHOODS. WITHOUT THESE



- 1 WORKERS, THOUSANDS OF HIGH-RISK, JUVENILE OFFENDERS WOULD GO
- 2 UNSUPERVISED. PROBATION CAMPS WOULD CLOSE. COMMUNITY-BASED
- 3 PROGRAMS WOULD BE ELIMINATED. NOW, THIS DOESN'T HAVE TO BE THE
- 4 CASE. SACRAMENTO CAN CHOOSE TO PROTECT OUR NEIGHBORHOODS. WE
- 5 AT SEIU ARE WORKING TO CONVINCE THE LEGISLATURE THAT THIS
- 6 REVENUE IS NEEDED, BUT WE CAN'T DO IT ALONE. WE ASK THAT YOU
- 7 JOIN US. LET SACRAMENTO HEAR FROM YOU. IF EACH OF US DOES OUR
- 8 PART, WE CAN KEEP OUR NEIGHBORHOODS SAFE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 9 TIME.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU FOR
- 12 YOUR COOPERATION, TOO.

13

14 **TEDDY DAVIS:** THANK YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. WE HAVE ONE PUBLIC SPEAKER, MR.
- 17 PREVIN? YOU HAVE TWO MINUTES, SIR.

18

19 ERIC PREVIN: GOOD MORNING.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: GOOD MORNING.

- 23 ERIC PREVIN: MY NAME IS ERIC PREVIN AND I AM THE COUNTY
- 24 RESIDENT FROM DISTRICT 3. AND I HAVE REVIEWED THE BUDGET AND I
- 25 THINK THAT IT IS IMPRESSIVE, IN FACT, THAT THERE HAVE BEEN



- 1 SOME REDUCTIONS GIVEN THE FACT THAT SO MUCH OF THE SERVICES
- 2 HAVE BEEN RETAINED. I LOOKED VERY CLOSELY AT THE ANIMAL CARE
- 3 AND CONTROL BUDGET. AND I NOTICED THAT THERE WAS AT THE VERY
- 4 BOTTOM SOMETHING CALLED "JUDGMENTS AND DAMAGES" AND THERE'S
- 5 ALSO THE SAME CATEGORY FOR MANY OF THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS,
- 6 INCLUDING COUNTY COUNSEL. AND THERE WAS SOMETHING LIKE 130,000
- 7 DOLLARS ALLOTTED FOR JUDGMENTS AND DAMAGES IN THE ANIMAL CARE
- 8 AND CONTROL BUDGET AND APPROXIMATELY 15,000 DOLLARS WHERE IT
- 9 WAS ALLOTTED IN THE COUNTY COUNSEL BUDGET. IN NOVEMBER, MS.
- 10 MOLINA, WHO I'LL WAIT ONE SECOND. NOVEMBER 30TH, WE HAD THE
- 11 ANNUAL COST LITIGATION REPORT. AND IT SEEMED TO BE THAT THERE
- 12 WAS MONEY THAT WAS ALLOTTED FOR JUDGMENTS AND DAMAGES IN THAT
- 13 BUDGET. DO THESE BUDGETS WORK TOGETHER? IS THE ANNUAL COST
- 14 LITIGATION BUDGET SEPARATE TO THE COUNTY COUNSEL'S BUDGET
- 15 COMPLETELY?

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I'M NOT AWARE.

- 19 ERIC PREVIN: 100 PERCENT SURE ON THAT? MR. FUJIOKA? THERE ARE
- 20 TWO BUDGETS THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT NOW. THE ONE IN FRONT OF
- 21 US WHICH IS THE ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 2010- OKAY, WELL MY COMMENT
- 22 IS HOW DOES THE 15,000 DOLLARS IN JUDGMENTS AND DAMAGES THAT
- 23 IS STATED IN THIS BUDGET UNDER THE COUNTY COUNSEL LINE
- 24 INTERACT WITH WHAT I WAS DESPERATELY TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE
- 25 COST LITIGATION REPORT'S VERSION OF THAT, WHICH SEEMED TO BE



- 1 MUCH HIGHER, IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF I DON'T REMEMBER OFF THE
- 2 TOP OF MY HEAD, APPROXIMATELY 40 MILLION DOLLARS OR 50 MILLION
- 3 DOLLARS, MUCH OF WHICH WAS PAID TO ATTORNEYS IN FEES. SO
- 4 THAT'S ONE QUESTION. REGARDING THE ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL
- 5 BUDGET, I'VE ALSO COMPARED IT TO THE LOS ANGELES CITY'S ANIMAL
- 6 CARE AND CONTROL DEPARTMENT WHICH IS A PARALLEL AGENCY THAT
- 7 RUNS UNDER SIMILAR POWER BUT IT'S FUNDED BY THE CITY AS
- 8 OPPOSED TO THE COUNTY. AND AS I UNDERSTOOD IT, THEY ARE
- 9 RUNNING A FAIRLY TIGHT SHIP OVER THERE, MR. YAROSLAVSKY. AND I
- 10 WAS WONDERING IF WE COULD SET UP A TEAM TO SHADOW OR STUDY THE
- 11 PROTOCOL THAT THEY'VE ADOPTED REGARDING ANIMAL CARE AND
- 12 CONTROL. I NOTICED THAT BOTH THE COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE ANIMAL
- 13 CARE AND CONTROL BUDGETS HAVE MADE VERY SHORT OR MINOR SAVINGS
- 14 THIS YEAR.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MAKE YOUR CLOSING.

17

- 18 ERIC PREVIN: BUT I WAS HOPING THAT BY STUDYING WITH OUR
- 19 PARTNERS IN ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL, WE MIGHT FIND SOME
- 20 EFFICIENCIES OR WAYS IN PARTICULAR WITH REGARD TO ONE OF MY-
- 21 SOMETHING THAT I COULDN'T FIND IN THE ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL
- 22 BUDGET OF THE COUNTY, WHICH HAD TO DO WITH THE COSTS TO THE
- 23 TAXPAYERS FOR HEARINGS REGARDING IMPOUNDMENT OF ANIMALS. THERE
- 24 WAS AN INCREASE OF SEVERAL HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

2

- 3 ERIC PREVIN: TO ACCOMMODATE THE IMPOUNDMENT OF ANIMALS, BUT
- 4 THERE WAS NO DESCRIPTION OF HOW MUCH MONEY IS BEING ALLOTTED
- 5 FOR THAT. AND IT CAN'T BE THE...

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. NOW WE WANT TO CALL UP MR.
- 8 SCHUNHOFF FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. JOHN, REGARDING THE
- 9 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES, THE ESTIMATE THAT IT WILL STILL
- 10 HAVE A DEFICIT OF 12.8 MILLION DOLLARS AFTER TWO POTENTIAL
- 11 SOLUTIONS WHICH ARE STILL PENDING APPROVAL BY THE CENTER FOR
- 12 MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES AND DISTRIBUTIONS OF THOSE
- 13 FUNDS FROM THE BUDGET DESIGNATION ACCOUNT, COULD YOU PROVIDE A
- 14 FOLLOW-UP REPORT PRIOR TO AUGUST 15TH ON THE C.M.S. APPROVAL
- 15 STATUS OF THE SAFETY NET CARE POOL FUNDS AND THE MENTAL HEALTH
- 16 STATE PLAN AMENDMENT, WHICH ACCOUNTS FOR THE 51 MILLION
- 17 DOLLARS OF YOUR DEFICIT PLAN. AND THE REPORT WOULD INCLUDE A
- 18 DETAILED PLAN TO ADDRESS ANY REMAINING DEFICIT AFTER YOU HAVE
- 19 FINALIZED EXPENDITURES FOR 2010-2011?

20

21 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: WE WILL, MR. MAYOR.

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY QUESTIONS? THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
- 24 JOHN, THANK YOU.



1	SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT THE
2	BOARD RECEIVE AND FILE AND TAKE UNDER ADVISEMENT VARIOUS
3	SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET REQUESTS AND COMMENTS MADE DURING THE
4	PUBLIC BUDGET HEARINGS COMMENCING MAY 11TH; MAKE A FINDING
5	THAT A NOTICE OF PUBLIC BUDGET HEARINGS WAS GIVEN IN
6	ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 29080 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE, THAT
7	SAID HEARINGS COMMENCE ON MAY 11TH OF 2011 PURSUANT TO SAID
8	NOTICE AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 29081 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE,
9	MOVING THAT THE BOARD CLOSE THE PUBLIC BUDGET HEARINGS FOR
10	PURPOSES OF ORAL TESTIMONY, FINDING THAT THERE ARE NO PERSONS
11	WHO HAVE NOT BEEN GIVEN THAT OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD BUT TO
12	ALLOW A MAXIMUM PUBLIC INPUT, PERMIT ADDITIONAL WRITTEN
13	TESTIMONY AND REQUESTS TO BE FILED THROUGH THE CLOSE OF
14	BUSINESS MAY 20TH OF 2011; IN ADDITION, THE BOARD RECONFIRMS
15	THE BUDGET DELIBERATIONS WILL BEGIN ON MONDAY, JUNE 20TH, 2011
16	AT 9:30 A.M. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
17	SO ORDERED.
18	
19	
20	
21	

24

22

23



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number
2	6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of California, do
3	hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the Los
5	Angeles County Board of Supervisors May 11, 2011,
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as archived
9	in the office of the reporter and which have been provided to
10	the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as certified by
11	me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16	16th day of May 2011, for the County records to be used only
17	for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	